

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 LAURA L. TIMLICK,

9 Plaintiff,

v.

10 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., et al.,

11 Defendants.
12

CASE NO. C16-5797 BHS

ORDER DENYING PARTIES'
MOTION TO CONTINUE

13 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motion to continue
14 trial and remaining deadlines (Dkt. 36).

15 On October 12, 2017, the parties filed the instant stipulation requesting an
16 extension of remaining and past deadlines and the trial date. *Id.* The parties assert that
17 they need additional time to explore settlement. *Id.* "A schedule may be modified only
18 for good cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). The possibility
19 of a settlement exists in almost every case and, without more, is not good cause to extend
20 deadlines, especially ones that have already passed. Therefore, the Court **DENIES** the
21 parties' motion without prejudice. If the parties intend to file a new motion for extension
22

1 of dates, the Court will be very reluctant to extend the dispositive motions deadline and
2 trial date.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated this 16th day of October, 2017.

5
6 

7 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
United States District Judge